

re US DOT FMCSA docket 2004-17195 - qualification of drivers; exemption applications; vision - public comment

I oppose and object to anyone driving who does not meet a standard. I do not like exemptions to laws or regulations. I have some objections to some of the permits applied for and state them below by each number

1. I oppose this without having another independent eye doctor examine this applicant. If an independent ophthalmologist examines and says ok, then maybe it is ok. but sometimes doctors will write things for patients that may not be in the best interest of any body but the patient.

2. no should not be granted - get an independent eye exam to bolster the first doctor and then if the second doctor recommends, maybe.

3. the peripheral vision is not the only critical issues for paul bannon. I think you need peripheral vision to drive. i object to this exemption and think it is dangerous.

4. ernie black - we need another doctors exam - an independent doctor to see if he can bolster the original doctor. If he says ok, then we have to say its ok.

5. we need another independent eye exam to bolster the first one. Find an "independent" doctor - not one of longstanding acquaintance to the permittee.

6. branham - i think it is time for this man to not drive

7. goldcamp - get an independent second doctors opinion - an ophthalmologist.

8. grass - i think this person would be better to find some other kind of employment. i think this is dangerous. a speck could fly into the one eye and he would be without ANY vision and I would not want anyone I loved to be in his way when that happened.

9. hathaway - ok

10. johannsen - get an independent second eye doctor's opinion.

11. kennedy - ok

12. king - ok

13. meerten - i think this person has to find some other way to make a living. the situation sounds extremely dangerous for the public.

14. miller - ok

15. mohorter - questionable at best

16. mohr - the eyes and the speeding make this driver a danger. i dont think exemptions should be given to dangerous drivers with eye vision problems.

17. murphy - get an independent ophthalmologist opinion and see if it corresponds with the original doctor who said it was ok. if the second doctor concurs, then ok.

18. patterson - ok

19. peterson - i think it is time for this person to find another kind of job. one eye is too dangerous to drive.

20. preslopsky - get an independent second doctors' opinion. if they correspond with the first doctor, then ok. otherwise problems.

21. sands - get an independent second doctors' opinion. if they correspond with the first doctor, then ok. otherwise problems

22. sidwell - get an independent second doctors' opinion. if they correspond with the first doctor, then ok. otherwise problems

23 - smith - i think this person should not get an exemption.

24. suarez - ok

25. swartz get an independent second doctors' opinion. if they correspond with the first doctor, then ok. otherwise problems

26. thomas - i cannot see putting this man on the road in the drivers seat and think it is time he find some other work.

27. vaughn - get an independent second doctors' opinion. if they correspond with the first doctor, then ok. otherwise problems

28. wendt - ok

29. ok for yeager

these are my comments for the public record. we cannot have drivers on our roads that cannot see.